



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/313,436		05/17/1999	DAVID S. SPRINGER	. M-7260US	3911		
24251	7590	12/06/2001					
		RILL MACPHERS	EXAMINER LE, KHANH H				
SUITE 70							
SAN JOS	SE, CA 951	110		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2162			
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2001				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	)	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	U
		09/313,43	36	SPRINGER ET AL.	
Office Action Sum	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Khanh H.	Le	2162	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication	appears on th	cov rsh et with	th corr spondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above is less for NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for the Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	OMMUNICATIOn the provisions of 37 CFR of this communication. That thirty (30) days, a maximum statutory period for reply will, by state of the maximum state of the state of	N. R 1.136(a). In no evolution reply within the stated will apply and wind atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply story minimum of thirty (3 Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS ication to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communicati  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on _	·			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.		
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with				rs, prosecution as to the ments 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pendi	ng in the applica	tion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are with	drawn from co	nsideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	ved.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejecte	ed.				
7) Claim(s) is/are object	cted to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction an	d/or election r	equirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected	d to by the Exam	iner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request the	nat any objection to	o the drawing(s)	be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing corre	ection filed on	is: a)□ a	oproved b)□ disa	approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawi	ngs are required ir	n reply to this Of	fice action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	1 120				
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for fore	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ <b>!</b>	None of:				
<ol> <li>1.☐ Certified copies of the</li> </ol>	e priority docum	ents have bee	n received.		
2. Certified copies of the	e priority docum	ents have bee	n received in App	lication No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certifie application from</li><li>* See the attached detailed Of</li></ul>	the International	Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage ceived.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for dome	estic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional applica	ition).
a) ☐ The translation of the formula is made of the formula is made.					
Attachment(s)		, , , -			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P				nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	. •
5. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office	a Action Summa		Part of Paper N	

Art Unit: 2162

#### Non-Final Action

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reilly et al.,, US 5740549, and further in view of other well-known business and computer methods.

Claim 1.

Reilly discloses

A method of tracking information provided to a computer system from a server, the method comprising:

providing an identifier identifying the computer system (Fig 4 and associated text especially item 212, 213);

providing at least one database associating the identifier with information specific to a computer user associated with the computer system (Fig 4 and associated text, especially items 216, 214);

transmitting the identifier to the server, the server affiliated with the at least one database (Figs 11 and 12 and associated text; col 14 l. 17 -col 16 l. 35; Fig 4 and associated text especially items 212, 213; );

transmitting the information to the computer system that is specific to the identifier (Figs 11 and 12 and associated text; col 14 l. 17 -col 16 l. 35; Fig 4 and associated text especially items 212, 213; );

Art Unit: 2162

and logging the transmittal of the identifier in one of the at least one database. (Fig 2 and associated text especially item 210; Fig 4 and associated text especially item 218). (Further, see generally Wexler, US 5960409; Graber et al., US 5712979 for tracking techniques)

Claims 10 and 17 essentially parallel claim 1 in computer system and system formats respectively and are rejected on the same basis.

As for claims 2-9, the method of claim 1 is disclosed as above-discussed. As for claims 11-16, the method of claim 10 is disclosed as above-discussed. As for claims 18-24, the method of claim 17 is disclosed as above-discussed.

Claim 2. The method of Claim 1 wherein the logging the transmitting of the identifier further includes: incrementing a counter associated with the identifier. (Fig 2 and associated text especially item 210; Fig 4 and associated text especially item 218);

Claims 14 and 18 essentially parallel claim 2 in computer system and system formats respectively and are rejected on the same basis.

Claim 3. The method of Claim 1 further comprising: providing that the information transmitted to the computer system includes at least one of advertisements, informational data, advertisements specific to the computer user, and informational data specific to the computer user. (Figs 11 and 12 and associated text;)

Claim 19 essentially parallel claim 3 in system format and is rejected on the same basis.

Claim 4. Reilly further providing that the server is a server hosting advertisements and informational data. (Figs 11 and 12 and associated text;)

Claim 20 essentially parallels claim 4 in system format and is rejected on the same basis.

Claim 6. The method of Claim 1 wherein the transmitting the identifier includes: requesting access to the server by the computer user via the worldwide web, and transmitting the identifier when the computer user requests access from the server.

Fig 1 and 2 and associated text)

Claims 12 and 22 essentially parallel claim 6 in computer system and system formats respectively and are rejected on the same basis.

#### Claim 8.

The method of Claim 1 wherein the identifier is one of a system code, system code stored in nonvolatile memory, a unique ID from a microprocessor, a user ID from a peripheral device and a unique identifier stored on a hard drive.

Art Unit: 2162

It is well-known that the identifier can be one of those above claimed. One skilled in the arts would have known to use one of those to apply to the Reilly's system to implement it.

Claims 16 and 24 essentially parallel claim 8 in computer system and system formats respectively and are rejected on the same basis

As to claims 5, 7, 9 Reilly does not specifically disclose the dependent claimed limitations but the business and computer methods contained therein are well-known.

Claim 5. The method of Claim 1 further comprising: providing an application program, the application program querying the computer user as to information specific to the computer user; if the computer system does not contain a preexisting identifier associated with the at least one database, querying the computer user as to information specific to the computer user; and storing the information in one of the at least one database.

Reilly does not explicitly discloses such application program but implicitly does. One skilled in the arts would have known to use the above-claimed steps as they are logical steps to implement the Reilly's disclosure of identifying the user, the user 's system and the user's profile for customized delivery of news and other information system.

Claims 11 and 21 essentially parallel claim 5 in computer system and system formats respectively and are rejected on the same basis.

Claim 7. The method of Claim 1 further comprising: when the server receives an identifier, checking the at least one database for the identifier; if the identifier is not found, querying the computer user as to whether the computer user desires to participate in receiving the information from the server; if the computer user desires to participate, building one of the at least one databases that associates the identifier with the computer user; if the identifier is found in the at least one database, searching for matching information unique for the identifier and for the computer user; if matching information is found, transmitting the information unique for the identifier and for the computer user to the computer user from the server; if no matching information is found, transmitting one of generic information and no information; and logging the transmittal of the identifier in one of the at least one database. (see Reilly, Fig 11s and 12 and associated text).

Further, Reilly does not explicitly disclose such application program but the claimed methods steps are well-known in the arts. One skilled in the arts would have known to use the above-claimed steps as they are logical steps to implement the Reilly's disclosure of identifying the user, the user 's system and the user's profile for customized delivery of news and other information system. Further the claimed steps of initiating a new user would have been obvious to one skilled in the arts given the knowledge in the arts.

Art Unit: 2162

The method of Claim 1 wherein the information unique to the computer user includes one of incentives, bonuses and discounts on a plurality of goods.

Reilly does not specifically disclose such but they are usually well-known parts of advertisements (disclosed by Reilly, Fig 1, item 138 and associated text) thus one skilled in the arts would have known to combine them with Reilly's disclosure to provide customized incentives, (also, e.g., in affinity schemes) which are a well-known business methods.

### Claims 13 and 23.

Claims 13 and 23 essentially parallel claim 9 (also claim 3) in computer system and system formats respectively and are rejected on the same basis. (Claims 13 and 20 recite "at least one of advertisements, informational data, advertisements specific to the computer user, and informational data specific to the computer user").

### Claim 15.

The computer system of Claim 10 wherein the database is affiliated with an advertisement broker that is also affiliated with the server, the advertisement broker receiving the identifier and logging the transmittal of the identifier in a database to track the transmittal of information specific to the computer user.

Reilly does not specifically disclose an advertisement broker that is also affiliated with the server however such ads brokers/server affiliation is well-known in the arts (se e.g. Dedrich, US 5752238). One skilled in the arts would have known to combine the Reilly's and Dedrich disclosures to extend the reach of the Reilly's system to third parties advertising systems.

#### Conclusion

- 3. Prior art made of record (see all cited above) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh H. Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0571. The examiner is on flexible schedule and can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday from 9:00 AM 6:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached at the e-mail address: khanh.le2@uspto.gov If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on (703) 305-8469.

Art Unit: 2162

The Official Fax Numbers for TC-2100 are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MHL

December 3, 2001

STEPHEN GRAVINI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Seve Gravini

Page 6